

UNITED STATES FARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS'AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVE	ENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/437,694	11/10/99	NAGAWASA		K	B208-346 DIV
- 026272		WM02/0425	コ		EXAMINER
ROBIN BLECKER & DALEY		Miliory Ortro		NGUYEN, H	
2ND FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
330 MADISON NEW YORK NY				2615	7
					04798701

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

4

Office Action Summan		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/437,694	NAGAWASA ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		HUY T NGUYEN	2615				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from s, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 I	February 2001 .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2b) Th	nis action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠	⊠ Claim(s) <u>22-30</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22-30</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved.							
12)							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. \$ 119							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. ≸ 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).							
Attachmen	nt(s)						
15) 🛛 Not	ice of References Cited (PTO-892)	· <u>==</u>	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s)				
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 20) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 09/437,694

Art Unit: 2615

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 22 –30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Claims 22 and 30 recite a converting means for converting the first digital information I from N bits to M bits, M bits is being coding of the second digital, signal is not described in the specification. Further, the specification does not describe a coding means for outputting M bits data by coding the second digital information

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a), which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections, set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of

Application/Control Number: 09/437,694

Art Unit: 2615

the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claims 22-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sochor (4,491,861) in view of Yoshimura et al (5,012,352).

Regarding claims 22-13 and 27-30, Sochor discloses a coding apparatus (Figs. 1-5) (column 5) for coding a first digital information (luminance information) and a second digital information (color difference information) comprising:

input means for inputting the first digital information and second digital information;

coding means for coding the first digital and second digital signal to generate an M bits data;

converting means for converting the first digital signal of N bits data into M bits data; and

means for recording the first digital information and second digital information.

Sochor fails to teach a error correction means for adding error correct symbols in the M bit data of the first digital information and second digital information. However, it is noted that using an error correction means for adding correcting symbols in the data for correcting the data is well known in the art as taught by Yoshimura.

Art Unit: 2615

ું

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify

Sochor by using a error correction means for adding the correcting symbols the M bits

data of the first digital information and second digital information to correct the erro in

the data.

Regarding claims 24 and 25, Sochor fails to teach that the coding means coding the first digital and second digital information used with PDM. However, it is noted that using PDM for coding data is well known in the art. Therefore, Official Notice is taken and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a PDM means as an alternative coding means for coding the first and second digital information..

Regarding claim 26, Sochor as modified with Yoshimura further teaches that the first digital information is a video signal and audio signal multiplexed. See Yoshimura.

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Inoue teaches an apparatus for recording luminance symbols and color difference symbols.
- 6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Page 4

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HUY T NGUYEN whose telephone number is (703) 305-4775. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:3000AM -6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wendy Garber can be reached on (703) 305-4929. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-6306 for regular communications and (703) 308-6296 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

HUMGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER

H.N April 21, 2001